



Protected Premises Control Set

WMA-PSA-CAT-001, issued under WMA-PSA-001 for the Westmark Protected Premises Mark

Reference	WMA-PSA-CAT-001
Scheme	Westmark Protected Premises Mark
Version	1.0
Issue date	September 2026
Issued under	WMA-PSA-001 Protected Premises Assurance Standard
Legal anchor	Terrorism (Protection of Premises) Act 2025 as enacted, section 27 statutory guidance (April 2026), SI 2026/793
Classification	OFFICIAL

Contents

1. How to read this control set

Twenty controls in five sections. Section P1 to P3 apply to every assessment. Sections P4 and P5 apply to enhanced duty premises and qualifying events only. Control identifiers are the MLAF identifiers and are canonical across the gap analysis tool published under the Martyn's Law Assurance Framework, the mlafr-data JSON and this scheme.

Section	Controls	Applies to	Scored in
P1 Scope and registration	ML-STD-01 to 04	All tiers and events	Full assessment and standard declaration
P2 Public protection procedures	ML-STD-05 to 10	All tiers and events	Full assessment and standard declaration
P3 Governance	ML-STD-11 (enhanced and events, body as responsible person), ML-STD-12 (where structural conditions arise)	As stated	Full assessment. ML-STD-12 in the standard declaration where applicable
P4 Public protection measures	ML-ENH-01 to 05	Enhanced and events	Full assessment only
P5 Documentation and records	ML-ENH-06 to 08	Enhanced and events	Full assessment only

Each control carries seven fields and a five-row maturity table. The fields are the same as WMA-CAT-001 with one addition, statutory basis, since every control here rests on a section of the Act or a paragraph of the section 27 guidance and section 27(5) makes that citation evidentially useful to the responsible person.

Field	Content
Requirement	The control statement, verified against the Act as enacted.
Applies to	Tier and event applicability, and the standard declaration position.
Statutory basis	Section of the Act, guidance paragraph, regulations, and any watch item where regulations may alter the position.
What a score of 2 requires	The evidence and corroboration needed for the top score, incorporating the twelve-month house benchmark. This is the field WMA-PSA-TPL-003 summarises.
Evidence	The documents and records examined, cross-referenced to the WMA-PSA-TPL templates where one exists.
Verification method	What the assessor does beyond reading: observation, interview, direct verification, cross-check.
Linked disqualifying condition	The DC-P reference where a total absence engages one, or None.

1.1 Scoring

Score	Scheme label	MLAF maturity	Meaning
0	Absent	None or Informal	Nothing recorded, or an arrangement held in people's heads only
1	Established	Documented	Written, current, approved. Not yet shown to operate
2	Embedded	Practised or Embedded	Operating as written, exercised within the last twelve months, corroborated on site and by interview

The maturity table under each control gives the anchor for every level so two assessors reach the same rating. The score is what the scheme thresholds are calculated on. The maturity level is reported alongside the score in the confidential scoring report and the Assurance Summary. Not applicable is recorded with reasoning and removes the control from the points available, on the same terms as WMA-SBD-001 section 8.

Where a maturity anchor refers to activity within the last twelve months, twelve months is Westmark's assurance standard. The Act and the section 27 guidance set no such interval, and every report states that distinction.

1.2 Order of assessment

- Scope determination: gateway tests GT-1 to GT-4, or GT-E for an event, recorded whichever way they fall (WMA-PSA-001 section 7).
- Override register applied (Annex B). Tier fixed.
- Disqualifying condition check DC-P1 to DC-P9 (WMA-PSA-001 section 6).
- Controls scored in section order, with P4 and P5 omitted at the standard tier.
- Outcome calculated under WMA-TRM-001 thresholds.

P1. Scope and registration

Four controls establishing that the responsible person knows what the premises are, which tier they fall in, who is responsible, and what the SIA needs to be told. Everything in P2 to P5 is scored against the tier these controls fix, so an error here is examined first and corrected before scoring proceeds.

ML-STD-01 Schedule 1 use and principal use determination

Requirement	The responsible person has determined the relevant Schedule 1 use of the premises and, where two or more Schedule 1 uses exist, has identified the principal use with documented reasoning.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises. Not applicable to qualifying events, where GT-E replaces it.
Statutory basis	Schedule 1. Section 4(2). Section 27 guidance paragraphs 4.17 to 4.19. Section 4(3) permits regulations on principal-use determination, none yet made.
What a score of 2 requires	A written determination citing the Schedule 1 paragraph relied on, reviewed against actual operation within the last twelve months, and where uses are mixed a principal-use analysis stating how the premises are used most of the time or what the predominant use is. Corroborated by the assessor's own observation of use on the visit and, where a change of use has occurred, by the record of re-determination.
Evidence	Scope determination record (WMA-PSA-TPL-001 Part 2). Lease or licence showing permitted use where relied on. Where mixed use, the principal-use analysis. Where a change of use has occurred, the dated re-determination.
Verification method	Document review. Observation of the premises in use. Where the determination turns on a marginal paragraph (retail and public-facing services, health care, public authority), the assessor records their own view and any divergence from the responsible person's.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P1

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No determination made.
0	Informal	Use understood verbally, nothing recorded.
1	Documented	Written determination with Schedule 1 reference, current.
2	Practised	Determination reviewed against actual operation within the last twelve months, including any change of use.
2	Embedded	Determination integrated with lease, licensing and change-management processes so a change of use triggers re-assessment automatically.

ML-STD-02 Attendance assessment and tier determination

Requirement	The responsible person has assessed the greatest number of individuals, including staff, reasonably expected from time to time to be present at the same time in connection with Schedule 1 uses, and has determined the tier accordingly, standard duty at 200 to 799 and enhanced duty at 800 or more, subject to the override register at Annex B.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises. For qualifying events the equivalent test is GT-E condition 4 at the 800 figure.
Statutory basis	Section 2(2)(c) and 2(3). Schedule 1 override provisions (OVR-01 to OVR-04). Home Office assessment-of-numbers factsheet. Section 32(1)(a) and (b) permit the figures to move by regulations, with floors of 100 and 500.

What a score of 2 requires	A recorded figure with stated method, date and resulting tier, refreshed after material change to layout, programming or licensing and at a defined review interval. Where the figure sits within 20 per cent of either threshold, sensitivity reasoning is recorded showing why the chosen side of the line is right. Corroborated against the fire risk assessment occupancy, licensing capacity or ticketing data the assessor inspects.
Evidence	Scope determination record Part 3. The source data relied on: safe occupancy calculation, attendance records, ticketing capacity, fire risk assessment occupancy. Sensitivity reasoning where near a threshold. Review record.
Verification method	Document review. Cross-check of the stated figure against at least one independent source held by the premises. Where the assessor's own estimate from observation or occupancy data differs materially, the difference is recorded and the assessor's figure governs the tier applied for the assessment.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P1

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No assessment.
0	Informal	A figure quoted from memory or an old fire risk assessment, provenance unclear.
1	Documented	Written assessment with stated method and date.
2	Practised	Assessment refreshed after material change and at a defined review interval.
2	Embedded	Attendance monitoring feeds the assessment, and threshold proximity is tracked as a standing risk item.

ML-STD-03 Responsible person and control determination

Requirement	The person or organisation with control of the premises in connection with the relevant Schedule 1 use has been identified as the responsible person, any specified-person rule in the override register has been applied, and where more than one person is responsible each is identified and joint working under section 4(4)(b) is recorded.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises, and qualifying events, where responsibility attaches to control of the premises in connection with their use for the event.
Statutory basis	Section 4. Schedule 1 specified-person provisions (OVR-05). Section 33(2), control as occupier or otherwise. Section 27 guidance paragraphs 6.3 to 6.5.
What a score of 2 requires	A written record naming the responsible person and the basis of control, reviewed within the last twelve months and on any change of occupier, operator or corporate structure. Where control is layered (landlord and operator, franchise, management agreement) the analysis shows who controls the premises for the principal Schedule 1 use. Where multiple persons are responsible, each is named with any joint arrangement. Corroborated by the lease, management agreement or hire documentation the assessor inspects, and by the senior individual describing the basis of control without prompting.
Evidence	Scope determination record Part 4. Lease, licence, management agreement, franchise agreement or hire contract as applicable. Where a specified-person rule applies, the record of its application. Where responsibility is shared, the joint working record.
Verification method	Document review. Interview of the senior individual or procedure owner. Where control is contested between parties, the assessor records the dispute and notes the section 11 tribunal route without resolving it. An assessment proceeds on the responsible person as presented, and the dispute is recorded in the Assurance Statement.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P2

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Nobody identified.
0	Informal	Assumed, unwritten.
1	Documented	Named in writing with basis of control.
2	Practised	Determination reviewed within the last twelve months and on any change of occupier, operator or corporate structure.
2	Embedded	Responsibility written into leases, management agreements and governance documents, with the determination revisited automatically on transaction or restructure.

ML-STD-04 SIA notification readiness

Requirement	The responsible person understands the notification duties under section 9 (notifying the SIA on becoming or ceasing to be responsible for qualifying premises or a qualifying event, and notifying when previously given information ceases to be accurate), holds the information the Notification Requirements Regulations 2026 require, and has assigned ownership of submitting it. From commencement, the notification has been made and remains accurate.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises, and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 9. The Terrorism (Protection of Premises) Act 2025 (Notification Requirements) Regulations 2026 (SI 2026/793) for form, content and timescales. Section 9(4) for the accuracy duty.
What a score of 2 requires	Before commencement: a notification pack assembled to the content the Regulations require, a named owner for submission, a trigger keyed to the SIA notification service opening, and the pack reviewed within the last twelve months and after any change to the recorded details. After commencement: the notification made within the statutory timescale, the SIA acknowledgement held, and the accuracy duty operating through change control. Corroborated by the pack contents matching the scope determination record and, after commencement, by the acknowledgement reference.
Evidence	Notification pack or, after commencement, the submitted notification and acknowledgement. Named owner. Change control record showing accuracy updates. For events, the event notification in the same form.
Verification method	Document review. Cross-check of pack contents against ML-STD-01 to 03 records. After commencement, sight of the acknowledgement reference.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P7 from commencement. None before commencement.

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Unaware of the duty.
0	Informal	Aware, nothing prepared.
1	Documented	Notification pack assembled to the Regulations' content and stored.
2	Practised	Pack reviewed within the last twelve months and after any change to the recorded details. After commencement, notification made and acknowledged.
2	Embedded	Notification data maintained within the organisation's compliance calendar, with the go-live trigger assigned and monitored and accuracy changes captured through change control.

P2. Public protection procedures

Section 5 requires the responsible person, so far as is reasonably practicable, to have appropriate public protection procedures in place to reduce the risk of physical harm to individuals if an act of terrorism were to occur on the premises, at the event, or in the immediate vicinity. The procedures are to be followed by individuals working on the premises or at the event where there is reason to suspect an act of terrorism is occurring or about to occur. There is no requirement at the standard tier to put physical measures in place.

Six controls. Four procedures (05 to 08), the appropriateness test applied to the set (09), and workforce readiness (10). The four procedures are each linked to DC-P3: the absence of any one of them in any form ends the assessment before scoring.

ML-STD-05 Evacuation procedure

Requirement	A procedure exists for evacuating individuals from the premises or event in response to suspected terrorism, covering routes, exits, assembly or dispersal arrangements, decision authority to initiate, and the circumstances in which evacuation would be the wrong choice.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises, and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 5(3)(a). Section 33(3) extends acts of terrorism to the use or threat of action reasonably suspected to be in the course of, or in planning or preparation of, an act of terrorism. Section 27 guidance, procedures chapter.
What a score of 2 requires	A written procedure distinct from, or consciously integrated with, the fire evacuation plan, naming initiation authority and deputies, primary and alternative routes, assembly or dispersal arrangements with reasoning, and arrangements for people needing assistance. Staff trained on it, and a drill or walkthrough covering the terrorism scenario completed within the last twelve months with records. Corroborated by the assessor walking the routes and by front-line staff describing the alternative route and the dispersal option unprompted.
Evidence	Procedures pack (WMA-PSA-TPL-002 Part 1). Fire evacuation plan for comparison. Drill or walkthrough record within twelve months. Training record. Route plan.
Verification method	Document review. Walk of every primary and alternative route to the exit and assembly or dispersal point. Interview of at least three front-line staff on route choice and the dispersal option. Where the assembly point is a fixed muster on the street outside the entrance, the assessor records it as a finding against the threat-outside case whatever the score.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P3

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No procedure beyond fire arrangements, terrorism never considered.
0	Informal	Staff can describe roughly what they would do, nothing written.
1	Documented	Written terrorism evacuation procedure, current, distinct from or consciously integrated with the fire plan.
2	Practised	Staff trained on it, and a drill or walkthrough covering the terrorism scenario completed within the last twelve months, with records.
2	Embedded	Drills reviewed and the procedure amended from findings, new staff inducted on it, and the procedure aligned with neighbouring premises where routes or assembly areas are shared.

ML-STD-06 Invacuation procedure

Requirement	A procedure exists for moving individuals to a place on the premises or at the event where there is less risk of physical harm, identifying the safer areas, their capacity and the decision authority to initiate, written as a pair with the evacuation procedure and sharing its decision prompts.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises, and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 5(3)(b). Section 27 guidance, procedures chapter.
What a score of 2 requires	A written procedure identifying invacuation areas with selection reasoning (protection offered, capacity, secondary exits, communication coverage), decision prompts distinguishing when to invacuate rather than evacuate, initiation authority, arrangements for people already outside, and duration handling. Staff trained on the evacuate-or-invacuate decision, walkthrough completed within the last twelve months with records. Corroborated by the assessor inspecting each designated area and by front-line staff naming the area for their working position and stating one circumstance in which they would invacuate rather than evacuate.
Evidence	Procedures pack Part 2. Area schedule with capacity. Walkthrough record. Training record. Decision prompts, which must match those in Part 1.
Verification method	Document review. Inspection of every designated invacuation area against the selection reasoning, including a capacity check by observation. Interview as stated. Cross-check that the decision prompts in the evacuation and invacuation procedures are the same text.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P3

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Concept unknown at the premises.
0	Informal	Staff would improvise moving people inside, no designated areas.
1	Documented	Written procedure with designated areas and initiation authority.
2	Practised	Staff trained on the evacuate-or-invacuate decision, walkthrough completed within the last twelve months, records held.
2	Embedded	Invacuation areas re-validated after layout or use changes, decision prompts rehearsed alongside evacuation drills, learning fed back into both procedures.

ML-STD-07 Lockdown procedure

Requirement	A procedure exists for preventing individuals entering or leaving the premises or event, covering the means of securing entry points, the order in which they are secured, initiation authority and release arrangements.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises, and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 5(3)(c). Section 27 guidance paragraphs 7.41 to 7.45. No physical measures are required at the standard tier.
What a score of 2 requires	A written procedure with an entry point schedule stating how each is secured and by whom, any point that cannot be secured with its compensating action, initiation authority and deputies, the securing sequence, handling of people mid-entry or mid-exit, and release arrangements including on police direction. Staff trained, and a timed walkthrough of securing all points completed within the last twelve months with records. Corroborated by the assessor's own timed direct verification of securing every scheduled point with the staff on duty, and by the procedure having been tested against the quietest realistic staffing level.
Evidence	Procedures pack Part 3. Entry point schedule. Timed walkthrough record. Training record. Rota showing the quietest shift. For events, the perimeter closure plan.

Verification method	Direct verification: the assessor times the securing of every entry point on the schedule with the staff present on the day. Comparison of the result with the recorded walkthrough time. Check that the number of staff needed to secure all points in the recorded time is available on the quietest rostered shift. Any entry point found unscheduled is recorded as a finding.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P3

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No lockdown concept, keys and door controls unmapped.
0	Informal	Staff assume they would lock the doors, nobody has confirmed every entry point can be secured or by whom.
1	Documented	Written procedure with an entry point schedule and initiation authority.
2	Practised	Staff trained, a timed walkthrough of securing all points completed within the last twelve months, records held.
2	Embedded	Entry point schedule maintained through premises change control, timings tracked across walkthroughs, and the procedure tested against realistic staffing levels including the quietest shift.

ML-STD-08 Communication procedure

Requirement	A procedure exists for providing information to individuals on the premises or at the event during a suspected act of terrorism, covering how staff are alerted, how the public are informed and directed, the means used, and who holds authority to send which messages.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises, and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 5(3)(d). Section 27 guidance, procedures chapter.
What a score of 2 requires	A written procedure covering staff alerting (radios, code words, cascade, alarms as fitted), pre-agreed plain wording for each of evacuate, invacuate and lockdown, the means available and their coverage with dead zones acknowledged, message authority, arrangements for people with hearing, sight, language or cognitive barriers, and contact routes to the emergency services and to event control where one exists. Staff trained, channels and coverage tested within the last twelve months with records. Corroborated by a live test of the public address and radio channels during the visit, and by front-line staff repeating the code word or alert method for their position.
Evidence	Procedures pack Part 4. Pre-agreed messages. Channel and coverage schedule. Test record. Training record.
Verification method	Direct verification: one full communication cascade and a live public address and radio test across the areas the coverage schedule claims, including any area recorded as a dead zone to confirm the compensating arrangement. Interview as stated. Cross-check that the pre-agreed wording matches the other three procedures.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P3

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No thought given beyond shouting.
0	Informal	Staff would use whatever channel came to hand, wording improvised.
1	Documented	Written procedure with pre-agreed messages, named channels and message authority.
2	Practised	Staff trained, channels and coverage tested within the last twelve months, records held.

Score	Maturity	Anchor
2	Embedded	Communication tested inside every drill of the other three procedures, failures logged and fixed, wording reviewed for plain language, new channels brought into the procedure when installed.

ML-STD-09 Appropriateness and reasonable practicability of procedures

Requirement	The procedure set as a whole has been assessed as appropriate to the premises or event and reasonably practicable for the responsible person, with the reasoning recorded, so the four procedures fit the place, the people and the operating reality.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises, and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 5(1) and 5(2). Section 27 guidance on "appropriate", "reasonably practicable" and "immediate vicinity". Section 27(5) on the evidential value of conformity with the guidance.
What a score of 2 requires	A recorded assessment linking each procedure to the layout, entry points, occupancy profile, staffing levels across the operating pattern, the Schedule 1 use and the immediate vicinity, with options considered and rejected recorded, and evidence that the quietest realistic staffing level can execute the procedures. Revisited within the last twelve months and after material change to layout, use, staffing model or local threat context. Corroborated by the assessor's own view of fit formed from the site examination, and by the assessment naming characteristics the assessor can see.
Evidence	Procedures pack Part 5, the appropriateness record. Rota. Any record of a procedure revised for fit. For events, the same assessment against footprint, duration and crowd profile.
Verification method	Document review against what the assessor has observed in ML-STD-05 to 08. The assessor records an independent opinion on appropriateness in the confidential scoring report, which is the specialist judgement the outcome rests on. A procedure set that is a template with the name changed scores 0 here regardless of scores on 05 to 08.
Linked disqualifying condition	None

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Procedures absent or copied wholesale with no fit assessment.
0	Informal	Someone considered fit mentally, nothing recorded.
1	Documented	Written appropriateness assessment linking procedures to premises characteristics.
2	Practised	Assessment revisited within the last twelve months and after material change to layout, use, staffing model or local threat context.
2	Embedded	Appropriateness review built into the premises' wider risk assessment cycle, with drill findings and incident learning feeding revisions, and vicinity changes monitored as triggers.

ML-STD-10 Staff readiness to implement

Requirement	Individuals working on the premises or at the event know the four procedures, know their own role within each, and can implement them without delay, with instruction or training arrangements proportionate to the setting and records kept.
Applies to	Standard and enhanced duty premises, and qualifying events. At the enhanced tier the section 6 measures rely on the same workforce, so this control gains weight.
Statutory basis	Section 5(3), which defines the procedures as those to be followed by individuals working on the premises or at the event. Section 27 guidance expectation of rapid, effective implementation.

What a score of 2 requires	Instruction or training records covering permanent, casual, agency and volunteer staff, induction delivered before first solo shift, role-specific responsibilities identified, refresher arrangements, contractor and agency briefing required, and a means of confirming understanding rather than attendance. Training delivered on induction and refreshed within the last twelve months, with at least one walkthrough or drill involving front-line staff in that period. Corroborated by interview of at least three front-line staff including one casual, agency or volunteer worker where the workforce includes them, each able to describe their role in all four procedures unprompted.
Evidence	Staff briefing and readiness record (WMA-PSA-TPL-004). Induction content. Training register across all worker categories. Drill records. For events, the steward briefing plan including contractor-supplied stewards.
Verification method	Interview as stated. The assessor selects the interviewees, including at least one person on their first month or first event where such a person is on duty. A single interviewee unable to name the invacuation area for their position or the lockdown action for their nearest entry point caps this control at 1.
Linked disqualifying condition	None

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Staff unaware the procedures exist.
0	Informal	Longer-serving staff broadly aware through word of mouth, new and casual staff not covered.
1	Documented	Training content and records exist covering all worker categories.
2	Practised	Training delivered on induction and refreshed within the last twelve months, with at least one walkthrough or drill involving front-line staff in that period.
2	Embedded	Readiness verified through observed drills or spot checks, contractor and agency briefing contractually required, turnover triggers re-training automatically, and staff can describe their role unprompted when asked.

P3. Governance

Two controls. ML-STD-11 applies where the responsible person for enhanced duty premises or a qualifying event is a body. ML-STD-12 applies wherever responsibility for a place is split or nested, at any tier. Both are recorded Not applicable with reasoning where the structural condition is absent.

ML-STD-11 Designated senior individual

Requirement	Where the responsible person for enhanced duty premises or a qualifying event is not an individual, an individual concerned in the management or control of the responsible person has been designated as having responsibility for the responsible person's compliance with Part 1 of the Act, the designation is recorded, and the individual holds the seniority, access and resources to discharge it.
Applies to	Enhanced duty premises and qualifying events where the responsible person is a body. Not applicable, with recorded reasoning, where the responsible person is an individual and at the standard tier.
Statutory basis	Section 10(2). The seniority-and-access examination is Westmark's assurance layer on the statutory test, and reports state that distinction. Section 26 exposes a person concerned in management or control to prosecution alongside the body where an offence is committed with their consent or connivance or is attributable to their neglect.
What a score of 2 requires	A written designation naming the individual and position, minuted by the board, partners or equivalent, with terms of reference, deputisation for absence, and a compliance reporting line at a defined frequency. The individual briefed or trained on the duties within the last twelve months and able to describe the premises' procedures and measures when asked. For events, the designation tied to the event and named in event documentation. Corroborated by interview of the individual and by sight of the minute and the most recent compliance report.
Evidence	Designation record. Board minute or resolution. Terms of reference. Compliance reporting record. Training or briefing record for the individual.
Verification method	Interview of the designated individual, conducted separately from the procedure owner. The assessor asks the individual to name the invacuation areas, the lockdown initiation authority and the date of the last drill. Inability to answer two of the three caps the control at 1.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P5

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No designation where one is required.
0	Informal	A person assumed to hold the role, nothing minuted, the individual uncertain of the duty's content.
1	Documented	Written designation with terms of reference, properly minuted.
2	Practised	The individual briefed or trained on the duties within the last twelve months, reporting on compliance at a defined frequency, and able to describe the premises' procedures and measures when asked.
2	Embedded	The role written into corporate governance documents, succession and handover arrangements in place, compliance reporting reaching the board or partners on a standing basis, and the designation reviewed on any change of control or restructure.

ML-STD-12 Co-ordination and co-operation

Requirement	Where more than one person is responsible for the premises or event, where qualifying premises form part of other qualifying premises, or where a person with some other extent of control of enhanced duty premises or event premises is not the responsible person, arrangements exist to co-ordinate or co-operate, so far as is reasonably practicable, in complying with the Act, and those arrangements are recorded and operating.
Applies to	All tiers and events wherever the structural conditions arise. Single-operator premises with no nesting record Not applicable with reasoning drawn from GT-1 and ML-STD-03.
Statutory basis	Section 8(1) to (6). Section 2(5)(a), nested premises with a common responsible person treated as one set. Section 27 guidance paragraphs 6.21 to 6.22.
What a score of 2 requires	A map of the responsibility structure identifying every responsible person and every person with partial control, written co-ordination arrangements (memorandum, lease clause, hire agreement schedule, event contract term or exchanged letters) covering how the parties' procedures interlock, named counterparts, and at least one joint walkthrough, drill or tabletop completed within the last twelve months with findings recorded. Corroborated by the assessor contacting one counterpart duty holder to confirm the arrangement exists from their side.
Evidence	Co-ordination agreement (WMA-PSA-TPL-005). Responsibility map. Counterpart contact list. Joint exercise record. For events, the hire documentation allocating procedures and measures between promoter and venue.
Verification method	Document review. One counterpart contact, by telephone or in person, recorded in the scoring report. Cross-check that a route, evacuation area or lockdown point that sits in another party's demise appears in the arrangement. Where one does and the arrangement is silent, the control scores 1 at most and the finding is carried to the affected procedure control.
Linked disqualifying condition	None

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Other duty holders present, no contact made.
0	Informal	Parties know of each other and would co-operate on the day, nothing agreed or written.
1	Documented	Written arrangements identifying the split of procedures and measures, counterparts named.
2	Practised	Arrangements reviewed with counterparts within the last twelve months, at least one joint walkthrough, drill or tabletop completed, findings recorded.
2	Embedded	Co-ordination written into leases, hire agreements or event contracts as standard, joint exercises recurring, arrangements updated on any change of occupier or operator, and a single shared picture of procedures held by all parties.

P4. Public protection measures

Section 6 requires the responsible person for enhanced duty premises or a qualifying event to assess and keep under review the public protection measures appropriate to the section 6(2) objectives and, so far as is reasonably practicable, to have them in place in addition to the section 5 procedures. The objectives are reducing the vulnerability of the premises or event to acts of terrorism, and reducing the risk of physical harm to individuals if an attack occurred there or in the immediate vicinity. Measures relate to monitoring, the movement of individuals, physical safety and security, and security of information, and may be processes, policies or physical mitigations.

Five controls. Four measure categories (01 to 04) and the vulnerability assessment from which they derive (05). Where the P2 procedures are actions taken once an attack is suspected, measures operate beforehand and continuously.

ML-ENH-01 Monitoring of the premises and immediate vicinity

Requirement	Measures are in place, so far as reasonably practicable, for the monitoring of the premises or event and their immediate vicinity, so that suspicious activity, hostile reconnaissance and developing incidents can be detected and acted on, with the regime matched to size, layout, operating pattern and threat context.
Applies to	Enhanced duty premises and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 6(3)(a). Section 27 guidance paragraphs 8.7 to 8.55.
What a score of 2 requires	A written monitoring regime across the operating pattern covering staffed observation, patrol or walk-round arrangements, CCTV coverage and its live monitoring or review arrangements where fitted, and vicinity awareness including approaches, queues and parking. Staff briefed on suspicious activity indicators and hostile reconnaissance with a usable reporting route, reports logged with outcomes, the regime tested or exercised within the last twelve months. Where a control room exists, its staffing pattern, procedures and log discipline. For events, the monitoring plan across build, show and break. Corroborated by the assessor observing the regime in operation during the peak period and by sight of the report log.
Evidence	Monitoring regime record. Staff briefing content. Report log with outcomes. Control room procedures and staffing where applicable. CCTV coverage plan where fitted.
Verification method	Observation during the peak period: is anyone watching what the regime says is watched. Inspection of the report log for entries and outcomes. Where a control room exists, attendance during ingress to confirm it is staffed. Interview of front-line staff on what they would report and to whom.
Linked disqualifying condition	None

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Nobody tasked with watching anything.
0	Informal	Staff generally keep an eye out, no briefing, no reporting route.
1	Documented	Written monitoring arrangements, staff briefed, reporting route defined.
2	Practised	Regime operating as written across all shifts, tested or exercised within the last twelve months, reports logged with outcomes.
2	Embedded	Monitoring findings reviewed and fed into measure selection, coverage re-validated after layout or programming change, vicinity liaison in place with neighbours or local policing, and hostile reconnaissance awareness refreshed as threat publications update.

ML-ENH-02 Movement of individuals

Requirement	Measures are in place, so far as reasonably practicable, concerning the movement of individuals into, out of and within the premises or event, so that entry arrangements, internal flows and egress reduce vulnerability and the risk of harm without creating new dangers such as crowding at controlled points.
Applies to	Enhanced duty premises and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 6(3)(b). Section 27 guidance paragraphs 8.7 to 8.55.

What a score of 2 requires	Recorded entry arrangements including any search, screening or ticket validation regime with rationale, throughput and queue management for peak arrival with the external queue's exposure considered, internal circulation pinch points and access control between public and non-public areas, egress reconciled with the evacuation procedure, and vehicle movement where relevant. Measures observed operating at representative peak conditions within the last twelve months, arrival and egress profiles reviewed against actual data, and entry regimes flexed against threat level with pre-agreed escalation states. For events, the crowd management plan integrated with these measures. Corroborated by the assessor observing ingress at peak and by the queue arrangement matching the record.
Evidence	Movement measures record. Entry regime rationale. Arrival profile data. Escalation states. For events, the crowd management plan and stewarding deployment.
Verification method	Observation of ingress at peak, with the external queue examined for exposure and management. Walk of internal pinch points. Cross-check of egress arrangements against ML-STD-05 routes. Where the queue outside is unmanaged and exposed, the control scores 1 at most whatever the search regime inside.
Linked disqualifying condition	None

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Doors open, no thought given to flows.
0	Informal	Staff manage queues and access by habit, nothing defined.
1	Documented	Written movement measures covering entry, internal flow and egress, with rationale.
2	Practised	Measures operating as written at representative peak conditions, observed or exercised within the last twelve months, queue and throughput arrangements verified in use.
2	Embedded	Arrival and egress profiles reviewed against actual data, measures adjusted after significant events or near misses, entry regimes flexed against threat level with pre-agreed escalation states, and movement measures reconciled with the three procedures at every revision.

ML-ENH-03 Physical safety and security

Requirement	Measures are in place, so far as reasonably practicable, relating to the physical safety and security of the premises, or of the premises at which the event is to be held, so that the fabric, equipment and physical arrangements reduce vulnerability and the harm an attack could cause, selected proportionately from the options realistically open to the responsible person.
Applies to	Enhanced duty premises and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 6(3)(c). Section 27 guidance paragraphs 8.7 to 8.55. NPSA published guidance as the technical reference base.
What a score of 2 requires	A record of physical measures in place with reasoning connecting each to an identified vulnerability, options considered and rejected with practicability reasoning, maintenance and testing records for security-relevant equipment within the last twelve months with defects logged and remedied, and the measure set reviewed after any physical alteration. Operational compensating measures defined for periods when physical measures are degraded, for instance building works. For events, the temporary infrastructure equivalent with inspection or certification records for hired equipment. Corroborated by the assessor inspecting a sample of the measures listed and finding them present and maintained.
Evidence	Physical measures record with vulnerability linkage. Rejected options record. Maintenance and test records. Compensating measures record. For events, hire equipment certification.
Verification method	Inspection of every measure the record relies on for a high-vulnerability finding, and a sample of the rest. A measure recorded as present and found absent or defective on inspection caps the control at 1. The assessor does not mark down for the absence of measures the vulnerability assessment rejected on recorded practicability grounds.

Linked disqualifying condition	None
---------------------------------------	------

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Physical security never considered against terrorism.
0	Informal	General premises security exists for crime prevention, no link made to attack vulnerability.
1	Documented	Written record of physical measures with reasoning tied to identified vulnerabilities, rejected options recorded.
2	Practised	Measures maintained and tested within the last twelve months, defects logged and remedied, measure set reviewed after any physical alteration.
2	Embedded	Physical measures reviewed against NPSA guidance updates and threat publications, capital planning includes security consideration for refurbishment and new works, and operational compensating measures activate when physical measures are degraded.

ML-ENH-04 Security of information

Requirement	Measures are in place, so far as reasonably practicable, for the security of information in relation to the premises or event, so that information which could assist in planning, preparing for or executing an act of terrorism is identified, held securely, and disclosed only to those with a need for it.
Applies to	Enhanced duty premises and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 6(3)(d). Section 27 guidance paragraphs 8.7 to 8.55. Part 2 of the Act (section 34 and Schedule 4) restricts disclosure of premises plans in public licensing registers under the Licensing Act 2003 and the Licensing (Scotland) Act 2005.
What a score of 2 requires	An identification exercise listing security-relevant information (plans and drawings, security system layouts, the procedure documents, staffing patterns, high-profile booking information, event build schedules), access arrangements for each covering physical copies, shared drives and third parties, a public exposure review covering the organisation's own website, listing sites and historic planning portal submissions with remediation where found, handling terms in contracts with security providers, contractors and hirers, and disposal arrangements. Access reviewed and the exposure check repeated within the last twelve months. Corroborated by the assessor performing their own exposure check before the visit and comparing findings.
Evidence	Information register. Access arrangements. Exposure review record. Contract handling terms. Disposal record.
Verification method	The assessor conducts an independent open-source exposure check before the visit (organisation website, booking platforms, planning portal, virtual tours) and records what a hostile reconnaissance desk exercise would find. Any security-relevant item found publicly available and absent from the organisation's own exposure review caps the control at 1. Sight of at least one contract handling term.
Linked disqualifying condition	None

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No thought given, plans and procedures circulate freely.
0	Informal	Sensitive documents loosely understood to be sensitive, no defined handling.
1	Documented	Security-relevant information identified and listed, access and handling rules written.
2	Practised	Access reviewed within the last twelve months, public exposure checked, contractor and hirer handling terms in place, disposal operating.

Score	Maturity	Anchor
2	Embedded	Information security review recurring on a defined cycle, new documents classified at creation, exposure checks extending to third-party platforms and archived sources, and breaches or losses triggering recorded review.

ML-ENH-05 Measure selection and the vulnerability assessment

Requirement	The measure set across all four categories derives from a recorded assessment of the premises' or event's vulnerability to acts of terrorism, informed by an understanding of attack methodologies, kept under review, with selection reasoning demonstrating that the measures are appropriate and reasonably practicable for this responsible person at this site.
Applies to	Enhanced duty premises and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 6(1)(a), the duty to assess and keep under review. Section 6(1)(b) and 6(2). Section 27 guidance on developing measures, with ProtectUK and NPSA as reference sources.
What a score of 2 requires	A written vulnerability assessment considering realistic attack methodologies against the site's characteristics, drawing on ProtectUK and NPSA material, mapping identified vulnerabilities to selected measures in each category with gaps acknowledged, reasonably practicable reasoning for measures rejected or deferred, defined review triggers, and evidence the assessment informed real decisions visible in budgets, works orders or procedure revisions. Reviewed within the last twelve months and after material change, with successive reviews showing the measure set evolving with the reasoning. For events, repeated or confirmed per event where footprint or profile changes. Corroborated by the assessor's own view of the site's vulnerability formed from the visit, recorded in the scoring report as an independent opinion.
Evidence	Vulnerability assessment (WMA-PSA-TPL-006 Part 1). Measure mapping. Rejected options record. Review triggers and review history. Evidence of decisions taken from it.
Verification method	Document review against everything observed under ML-ENH-01 to 04. The assessor records an independent opinion on whether the measure set answers the vulnerability picture, which is the specialist judgement the outcome rests on. A vulnerability the assessor identifies on the visit that the assessment does not address caps the control at 1.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P4

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No vulnerability assessment, measures accumulated by habit or sales contact.
0	Informal	Risks discussed, nothing recorded, measure selection untraceable to reasoning.
1	Documented	Written vulnerability assessment with measures mapped to findings and rejection reasoning recorded.
2	Practised	Assessment reviewed within the last twelve months and after material change, reference sources current, decisions traceable into the measure set.
2	Embedded	The assessment operates as a living document within the organisation's risk management system, review triggers fire in practice, threat publication changes are monitored and assessed for local effect, and successive reviews show the measure set evolving with the reasoning.

P5. Documentation and records

Section 7 requires the responsible person for enhanced duty premises or a qualifying event to prepare and keep up to date a document containing a statement of the section 5 procedures, an assessment of how they may be expected to reduce the section 5(2) risk, a statement of the section 6 measures in place or proposed, an assessment of how they may be expected to reduce the section 6(2) vulnerability and risk, and any further information specified by regulations. A copy must go to the SIA as soon as reasonably practicable after preparation and, on revision, within 30 days.

Three controls. The statement itself (06), the machinery that keeps it current (07), and the record set everything in P1 to P4 relies on (08).

ML-ENH-06 The documented compliance statement

Requirement	A document exists meeting each content requirement of section 7(1): the statement of section 5 procedures, the assessment of how those procedures may be expected to reduce the section 5(2) risk, the statement of section 6 measures in place or proposed, and the assessment of how those measures may be expected to reduce the section 6(2) vulnerability and risk, prepared to a standard suitable for provision to the SIA, with ownership of submission assigned. From commencement, a copy has been provided to the SIA.
Applies to	Enhanced duty premises and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 7(1) and 7(2)(a). Section 7(1)(e) permits regulations adding content, none yet made. Section 25, knowingly or recklessly providing false or misleading information to the SIA is an offence. Notification Regulations Schedule paragraph 19 requires the senior individual to confirm understanding of the section 7 duties at notification.
What a score of 2 requires	The statement complete against each limb of section 7(1), authored and approved with the designated senior individual's sign-off, internally consistent with the procedures, the vulnerability assessment and the training records behind it, version-controlled from first issue, with a named submission owner and trigger. Reviewed against the live procedures and measures within the last twelve months. From commencement, the copy provided to the SIA and the acknowledgement held. Corroborated by the assessor reading the statement against everything scored in P2 and P4 and finding no material divergence.
Evidence	Compliance document (WMA-PSA-TPL-006 Part 2). Approval and sign-off record. Version history. Submission owner and trigger. After commencement, the SIA submission record.
Verification method	Document review against the scored position in P2 and P4. The assessor records an independent opinion on whether the statement fairly represents the premises. A statement describing a procedure or measure the assessor found absent or materially different on the visit caps this control at 1 and is recorded as a section 25 exposure in the Assurance Statement.
Linked disqualifying condition	DC-P6

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No statement and no awareness of the duty.
0	Informal	Intention acknowledged, drafting not started.
1	Documented	Statement drafted, complete against the statutory content requirements, approved and version-controlled.
2	Practised	Statement reviewed against the live procedures and measures within the last twelve months, senior individual sign-off current, submission owner and trigger in place.
2	Embedded	The statement generated from the organisation's maintained compliance records rather than written as a separate exercise, revisions following every material change automatically, and the board or partners seeing it at a defined frequency.

ML-ENH-07 Keeping documentation current

Requirement	Arrangements exist to revise the compliance document and its underlying documents when procedures, measures, the premises, the operating model or the responsible person change, and to deliver a revised copy to the SIA within 30 days of any revision.
Applies to	Enhanced duty premises and qualifying events.
Statutory basis	Section 7(1), prepared and kept up to date. Section 7(2)(b), the 30-day provision deadline on revision. Notification Regulations regulation 6, the 28-day (premises) and 14-day (events) correction deadlines for notified information that ceases to be accurate.
What a score of 2 requires	A defined list of revision triggers covering change to any procedure or measure, physical alteration, change of use or programming profile, change of responsible person or senior individual, findings from drills, exercises or incidents, and relevant changes to the threat picture or statutory guidance. A named owner, a twelve-month backstop review, a process capable of completing revision-to-provision within 30 days, and version history showing at least one revision cycle completed as designed within the last twelve months with superseded copies withdrawn, including from counterpart duty holders. Corroborated by the assessor tracing one revision from trigger to issued version.
Evidence	Register pack (WMA-PSA-TPL-007 Part 1), the revision log. Trigger list. Version history. Withdrawal record. After commencement, the 30-day submission record.
Verification method	Trace of one revision from trigger through approval to issue and, after commencement, to SIA provision within 30 days. Check that any change the assessor identified during the visit (a new entry point, an altered layout, a new operator) either appears in the revision log or is recorded as a finding. Where the annual declaration under WMA-PSA-001 has confirmed 30-day compliance and the log shows otherwise, DC-P9 is engaged.
Linked disqualifying condition	None. See DC-P9 where a false annual confirmation is found.

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	Documents written once, no maintenance concept.
0	Informal	Someone would update things if a big change happened, nothing defined.
1	Documented	Revision triggers, owner, backstop interval and the 30-day pathway defined in writing.
2	Practised	At least one revision cycle completed as designed within the last twelve months, version history clean, superseded copies withdrawn.
2	Embedded	Triggers wired into the organisation's change control so revision starts without anyone remembering, currency checked in internal audit or management review, and counterpart duty holders receiving revisions as routine.

ML-ENH-08 Records of assessment, training and review

Requirement	Records are kept of the assessments, decisions, training, drills, exercises, reviews and co-ordination activity performed under the Act, organised so the responsible person can evidence compliance to the SIA on request and reconstruct the reasoning behind the current position.
Applies to	Enhanced duty premises and qualifying events. Read-across to the standard tier as good practice, presented in the standard declaration without statutory weight.
Statutory basis	Schedule 3 information gathering powers: notice requiring information or attendance (paragraph 3), entry and inspection on 72 hours' written notice (paragraph 4). Section 13(4)(b), a compliance notice may require evidence of compliance. Section 27(5).

What a score of 2 requires	A defined record set covering the scope determination and tier assessment, the vulnerability assessment and measure selection reasoning, the procedures and their revisions, training and induction records across all worker categories, drill and exercise records with findings and actions, co-ordination arrangements and correspondence, the compliance document's version history, and action plans with completion evidence. Defined retention periods, storage that survives personnel change with access controlled consistently with ML-ENH-04, an accountable owner, and a retrieval test performed within the last twelve months. Corroborated by the assessor's own retrieval test: three records named at random from the defined set, produced within the response time the organisation states.
Evidence	Register pack Parts 2 to 5. Record set definition. Retention schedule. Retrieval test record. Access control arrangements.
Verification method	Direct verification: the assessor names three records from the defined set and times their production. Any record in the defined set that cannot be produced during the visit caps this control at 1. Access to the record set is checked against ML-ENH-04, since the procedures and vulnerability assessment are themselves security-relevant information.
Linked disqualifying condition	None

Score	Maturity	Anchor
0	None	No records beyond whatever survives in inboxes.
0	Informal	Records exist scattered across drives and paper, retrievable only by the person who made them.
1	Documented	Defined record set, storage location and retention periods, accountable owner named.
2	Practised	Records complete against the defined set for the last twelve months, a retrieval test performed within that period, access controls operating.
2	Embedded	Record-keeping integrated with the organisation's wider management system, completeness checked at management review, retention and disposal operating automatically, and the archive demonstrably supporting decisions.

Annex A. Disqualifying conditions

Checked in full before scoring, after the scope determination and override register. Any one engaged ends the assessment with a Not Confirmed outcome and a report identifying the condition. Concessions under WMA-MAP-002 never touch this check.

Ref	Condition	Linked control	Active from
DC-P1	No recorded scope determination or tier determination for the premises or event, or a tier determination contradicted by the override register at Annex B	ML-STD-01, ML-STD-02	Now
DC-P2	No identified responsible person, or a responsible person determined in contradiction of a specified-person rule at OVR-05	ML-STD-03	Now
DC-P3	Any one of the four public protection procedures (evacuation, invacuation, lockdown, communication) absent in any form	ML-STD-05 to 08	Now
DC-P4	Enhanced tier: no vulnerability assessment of any kind behind the measure set	ML-ENH-05	Now
DC-P5	Enhanced tier: no designated senior individual where the responsible person is a body	ML-STD-11	Now
DC-P6	Enhanced tier: no compliance document under section 7 in any form	ML-ENH-06	Now
DC-P7	Section 9 notification not made within the required time under regulation 3 of the Notification Regulations, or notified information known to be inaccurate and not corrected within the regulation 6 period	ML-STD-04	Commencement of section 9(1)
DC-P8	A compliance notice, restriction notice or monetary penalty notice in force and unremedied at the assessment date	None. Enforcement position	Commencement of sections 13 to 20
DC-P9	Information provided to the assessor, or in an annual declaration to Westmark, that the responsible person knew to be false or misleading	None. Integrity	Now

Before commencement, DC-P7 and DC-P8 cannot arise and ML-STD-04 and ML-ENH-06 are scored on readiness. Both conditions activate on the relevant commencement date without a revision to this control set. The Assessor Handbook carries the commencement dates once made.

Annex B. Tier override and special rules register

Applied by the assessor after ML-STD-02 and before any P4 or P5 control is scored. Every entry cites the enacted provision. Verified against the Act as enacted, 7 July 2026.

Ref	Rule	Source	Effect
OVR-01	Qualifying premises whose relevant Schedule 1 use is as a place of worship are standard duty regardless of expected numbers	Sch 1 para 9(2)	Tier forced to standard. The 200 threshold still applies to qualify at all
OVR-02	Qualifying premises whose relevant use is childcare provision are standard duty regardless of numbers	Sch 1 para 13(3)	Tier forced to standard
OVR-03	Qualifying premises whose relevant use is for a primary or secondary education institution are standard duty regardless of numbers	Sch 1 para 14(3)(b)	Tier forced to standard
OVR-04	Qualifying premises whose relevant use is further education provision are standard duty regardless of numbers. Higher education carries no override	Sch 1 para 15(2)(b)	Tier forced to standard
OVR-05	Specified responsible persons. Hospitals: the operating NHS trust, NHS foundation trust or Health and Social Care trust, the Health Board or Special Health Board, otherwise the governing body. Primary and secondary institutions: the local authority for a pupil referral unit, otherwise the governing body or, absent one, the proprietor. Further education: the governing body, proprietor, provider, listed body or local authority. Higher education: the governing body. Public authority premises: the public authority	Sch 1 paras 10, 14, 15, 16, 17	ML-STD-03 pre-populated by profile. Contradiction engages DC-P2
OVR-06	No forced-enhanced cases exist. Section 2(4) permits Schedule 1 to force either tier and the enacted Schedule 1 exercises only the standard-duty direction	s.2(4), Sch 1	Positive record. Watch item: s.32(1)(e)(ii) allows regulations to create forced-tier cases
OVR-07	Excluded premises: legislatures and devolved administrations (Sch 2 paras 1 and 2), open-air recreation premises without general access checks with the event-measure and facility-measure disregards (para 3), premises under any of the five transport security regimes (para 4)	Sch 2 Part 1	GT-4 failure ends the premises assessment with a recorded determination. Para 3 premises can still host qualifying events
OVR-08	Excluded events: events at premises within Sch 2 paras 1, 2 or 4 (para 5), and events at premises wholly or mainly used for worship, childcare, or primary, secondary or further education (para 6)	Sch 2 Part 2	GT-E condition 6

Register maintenance triggers: any regulations under section 32, section 4(3), section 9 or section 7(1)(e), publication of the SIA section 12 guidance, and any commencement regulations.

Annex C. Sector profiles

The control set is universal. The profile selected before the gateway tests adjusts the scope questions asked, the illustrative examples in the templates, and the override entries applied.

#	Profile	Schedule 1 basis	Overrides
1	Retail and public-facing services	Para 1. Shops, shopping centres, banks, post offices and comparable service premises	None
2	Hospitality: food and drink	Para 2. Bars, pubs, restaurants, cafés, food halls	None
3	Nightlife and entertainment venues	Para 3. Nightclubs, theatres, cinemas, music venues	None
4	Sport and physical activity	Para 4. Sports grounds under the 1975 Act or the 2006 NI Order. Indoor facilities fall under para 3	OVR-07
5	Culture and visitor attractions	Paras 5 and 7. Libraries, museums, galleries, archives, outdoor exhibition sites, visitor attractions	None
6	Halls, conference and function venues	Para 6. Venues for hire, exhibition halls, conference centres	None
7	Accommodation	Para 8. Hotels, hostels, holiday parks	None
8	Places of worship	Para 9	OVR-01, OVR-08
9	Education and childcare	Paras 13 to 16	OVR-02, 03, 04 (paras 13 to 15 only), OVR-05, OVR-08. Higher education carries no tier override
10	Healthcare	Para 10. Hospitals and health care provision	OVR-05 where the relevant use is as a hospital
11	Transport	Paras 11 and 12. Stations and aerodromes	OVR-07 exclusion check first
12	Public buildings and services	Para 17	OVR-05, OVR-07
13	Qualifying events	Section 3, assessed through GT-E	OVR-08

Paragraph 18 of Schedule 1 applies across all profiles: premises count as used by visiting members of the public even where access is limited to those who have paid, hold tickets or passes, or are members or guests. Profiles 2, 5, 6, 7 and 13 carry the fullest illustrative examples in the WMA-PSA-TPL templates.

Annex D. Notification content

Reproduced from the Schedule to the Terrorism (Protection of Premises) (Notification Requirements) Regulations 2026 (SI 2026/793), made 9 July 2026, in force on the day section 9(1) of the Act comes fully into force. ML-STD-04 checks the notification pack against this list line by line.

D.1 Required time (regulation 3)

Case	Required time
Person responsible for qualifying premises at commencement	Within three months of commencement day
Person becoming responsible for qualifying premises after commencement	Within 28 days of becoming responsible, where later than the three-month period
Person ceasing to be responsible for qualifying premises	Within three months of commencement day or, if later, 28 days of ceasing
Qualifying event first publicised on or before commencement day	Within 14 days of commencement day
Qualifying event first publicised after commencement day	Within 14 days of first publication
Person ceasing to be responsible for a qualifying event	Within 14 days of ceasing
Notified information ceasing to be accurate, premises	Within 28 days of the information first ceasing to be accurate
Notified information ceasing to be accurate, event	Within 14 days of the information first ceasing to be accurate

An event is first publicised when its date is first made available to members of the public for advertising, by or with the authority of a responsible person, through any medium. Members of a club, association or similar body count as members of the public for this purpose (regulation 2). A notification may be made by the responsible person or a person they authorise (regulation 4), in the form the SIA requires and through the SIA online portal unless directed otherwise (regulation 5(5)).

D.2 Part 1: all premises and events

- Names and contact details (telephone, email, postal address with postcode where available) of each responsible person and any person subject to the section 8(4) co-ordination obligation
- Where the responsible person is a corporate body, its name including registered name and any trading names
- Any registered company number or registered charity number
- Name, contact details and relationship to the responsible person of any other person who has control of the premises or event to any extent
- Where the person submitting is not the responsible person: their name, role, relationship, contact details and confirmation of authority
- Postal address of the premises or event location, including postcode
- Whether the responsible person is also responsible for other qualifying premises or events
- The greatest number of individuals reasonably expected to be present at the same time, and the method used to calculate it
- Website address and any social media platform and account under the control of the responsible person or any person with control, used to advertise the premises or event
- Whether any of the following is held, applied for or notified: an alcohol licence including temporary licences or notices, an entertainment licence or permission, a general safety certificate under the Safety of Sports Grounds Act 1975 or the 2006 NI Order, or a regulated stand safety certificate. For each in force: description, dates, issuing authority (or the authority notified for a temporary event notice)
- Confirmation that the submitter is the responsible person or authorised, and is aware that knowingly or recklessly providing false or misleading information is an offence under section 25

D.3 Part 2: qualifying premises

- Confirmation that the premises satisfy section 2
- The relevant Schedule 1 use
- Whether the premises are a group of buildings and if so how many
- The address of each building where there is more than one address and postcode
- Where the premises form part of larger premises: the name and address of the larger premises and whether they are also qualifying premises
- Whether there is any seating, permanent or temporary, in an area adjoining the premises, including pavement or road seating

D.4 Part 3: enhanced duty premises and qualifying events

- The senior individual designated under section 10: name, contact details, position in relation to the premises or event, and date of designation
- Confirmation that the senior individual (or the responsible person where none is designated) understands the duty to prepare a compliance document under section 7, to provide it to the SIA as soon as reasonably practicable, and to provide a revised copy within 30 days of revision

D.5 Part 4: qualifying events

- Confirmation that the event satisfies section 3
- Date and time of the event, and date and time of first admission
- A brief description of the event
- Any larger event of which it forms part, and where known whether that event has been separately notified and its SIA number
- If known, whether the premises are qualifying premises and their tier, and any SIA number previously assigned to them
- Whether the same event has taken place before: the date, whether at the same premises (otherwise the address), whether under the same name and brand, and the name and contact details of the person then responsible
- Whether the event is intended to be repeated
- The date and format of first publication, including website address or social media platform and account

Annex E. Gateway tests

Completed before any control is scored. The outcome is a recorded, dated, attributable scope determination, which stands as a deliverable whatever the result. Where a determination is disputed between parties, the assessment records the dispute and notes the section 11 tribunal route.

Test	Question	Basis	Recorded
GT-1	Do the premises consist of a building, or a building and other land? Building includes part of a building or a group of buildings. Where qualifying premises form part of other qualifying premises with the same responsible person they are one set (s.2(5)). With different responsible persons each is assessed separately and ML-STD-12 applies. A site with no building routes to GT-E	s.2(2)(a), s.2(5)	Building or group, nesting position
GT-2	Are the premises wholly or mainly used for one or more Schedule 1 uses? Wholly means solely. Mainly means Schedule 1 use predominates judged on how the premises are used most of the time or the predominant use. Part of a building may itself be in scope	s.2(2)(b), Sch 1	Profile selected, paragraph relied on, reasoning
GT-3	Is it reasonable to expect that from time to time 200 or more individuals, including staff, may be present at the same time in connection with Schedule 1 uses?	s.2(2)(c)	Method, figure, date
GT-4	Are the premises outside Part 1 of Schedule 2? Legislatures and devolved administrations, open-air recreation premises without general access checks (with the para 3(4) disregards), and premises under any of the five transport security regimes	s.2(2)(d), Sch 2 Part 1	Exclusion checked, result

GT-E. Events gateway

An event qualifies only if every condition in section 3(1) is met:

- The premises consist of a building, other land, or both (s.3(1)(a))
- The premises are not enhanced duty premises and do not form part of enhanced duty premises (s.3(1)(b)). An event at an already-enhanced venue is governed through the venue's premises duties, with the organiser examined under ML-STD-12
- Members of the public will have access for the purpose of attending (s.3(1)(c))
- It is reasonable to expect 800 or more individuals, including those working at it, present at the same time at some point during the event (s.3(1)(d))
- Measures will be in place to secure or check that entrants have paid, hold tickets or passes, or are members or guests (s.3(1)(e)). Free events with checking measures can qualify
- The event is not excluded under Part 2 of Schedule 2 (s.3(1)(f))

Where the entry-check condition applies only to parts of the premises, what is held at each part is a separate event and the 800 test applies to each (s.3(2)). Qualifying events carry the full P1 to P5 set.

End of WMA-PSA-CAT-001 v1.0.